



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,980	12/06/2001	Thomas H. Baum	260-CIP-DIV	5984
25559 75	90 03/03/2006		EXAM	INER
ATMI, INC.			TALBOT,	BRIAN K
7 COMMERCE	DRIVE			
DANBURY, CT 06810			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1762	-

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/008,980	BAUM ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Brian K. Talbot	1762		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DEPL Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 to 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,10,12-22,24-26,31-36 and 36 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 6,10,12,24,25,31-34,40 and 41 is/are 6) Claim(s) 1-4,7,13-22,26,35,36,38,39 and 42-4 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and accompany are subjected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany are subjected to by the Examin accompany are subjected to by the Examin accompany are subjected to by the Examin accompany and accompany are subjected to by the Examin accompany accompany are subjected to by the Examin accompany	awn from consideration. e allowed. 45 is/are rejected. for election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/008,980 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/19/05 has been entered.

- 2. Claims 5,8,9,11,23,27-30 and 37 are canceled. Claims 1-4,6,7,10,12-22,24-26,31-36 and 38-45 remain in the application.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. In light of the amendment filed 12/19/05, the 35 USC 103 rejection over Nakabayashi et al. (6,271,077) in combination with Hoke et al., "Low-temperature Vapor deposition of High-purity Iridium Coatings from Cyclooctadiene complexes of Iridium" has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Page 3

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1,3,4,16,17-22,26,35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Vaartstra (5,695,815).

Vaartstra (5,695,815) teaches metal carboxylate complexes for formation of metalcontaining films on semiconductor devices. The metal containing group includes iridium. The metal precursor is thermally decomposed to form a metal containing film (abstract). The metalcontaining film can be used to form capacitor devices including FRAMs, DRAMs and SRAMs. In addition the metal-containing film can be used to form electrodes (col. 1, lines 25-45). The metal-containing film can be a metal, metal oxide, etc (col. 1, lines 55-65). The method of coating includes spraying, dip coating and spin coating. The iridium precursor can be an Ir (I) type precursor that are soluble in organic solvents (col. 4, lines 38-45 and example 1). In example 2, the iridium precursor after deposition is rapid thermally treated in an oxidation atmosphere to form the film.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 2,7,14,38,39 and 42-45 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaartstra (5,695,815).

With respect to claims 2 and 7, the claims recite particular oxidizing atmospheres whereas Vaartstra (5,695,815) is silent with regards to the "oxidizing" atmosphere. While the Examiner acknowledges this fact, the claimed oxidizing atmospheres are conventional in the art and one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have had a reasonable expectation of success regardless of the particular oxidizing atmosphere.

With respect to claim 14, the claim recites a critical dimension below 0.5 microns. With respect to the critical dimension claimed, it is the Examiner's position that the critical dimensions of the final product are a "result effective variable" which is optimized by one skilled in the art depending upon the desired final product.

With respect to claims 38,39 and 42-45, the claims recite particular non-polar solvents whereas Vaartstra (5,695,815) is silent with regards to the "non-polar solvents". While the Examiner acknowledges this fact, the claimed "non-polar solvents" are conventional in the art and one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have had a reasonable expectation of success regardless of the particular "non-polar solvents".

Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vaartstra (5,695,815) in combination with Nakabayashi et al. (6,271,077).

Features described above are incorporated here.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,980 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

Vaartstra (5,695,815) fails to teach coating by CVD.

Nakabayashi et al. (6,271,077) teaches coating an iridium film by CVD in a hydrogen and/or oxygen atmosphere. Iridium oxide is formed when the atmosphere is oxygen. The iridium precursor is Ir(DPM)₃ or Ir(acac)₃ (col. 25, line 40 – col. 30, line 55). Iridium films can be used in capacitor manufacture with deposition of ferroelectric films such as strontium titanate (SrTiO3), PZT and SBT.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Vaartstra (5,695,815) process by using the vaporizable precursor of Nakabayashi et al. (6,271,077) or to have modified Nakabayashi et al. (6,271,077) process by including an organic solvent in the iridium precursor with the expectation of achieving similar success.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6,10,12,24,25,31-34,40 and 41 are allowed.

Response to Amendment

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4,7,13-22,26,35,36,38,39 and 42-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,980

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant argued that the prior art failed to teach an iridium organic solvent solution as

Page 6

the precursor.

Vaartstra (5,695,815) teaches this limitation as detailed above.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian K. Talbot whose telephone number is (571) 272-1428. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian K Talbot

Primary Examiner

KTallet 3/1/06

Art Unit 1762

BKT